

## ESEA Title II: Improving Teacher Quality State Grant Program

Technical Assistance Teleconference Overview of RFP October 21, 2014

Jean Redeker, PhD Senior Director of Academic Affairs LEADING HIGHER EDUCATION

## Technical Assistance Teleconference

- \* Date: October 21, 2014
- \* Time: 8:30am -10 am
- ★ Calling in:
  - Dial 866 620 7326
  - Use conference code 1366296630

## **Session Outcomes**

- ★ To develop a common understanding of the Improving Teacher Quality Competitive Grants
- ★ To identify the timeline for the grants
- ★ To define the requirements of the Improving Teacher Quality Grants
  - define eligible partners for the grants
  - define eligible projects for the grants
  - review project and budget guidelines
  - review evaluation rubric

# Purpose of ITQ Grant

 Improve educational attainment of students by ensuring teachers, paraprofessionals and principals have access to sustained and high quality professional development in core academic subjects.

## Important Dates

- \* January 12, 2015: Proposals due.
- January 27, 2015: Applicants required to meet with review committee in Topeka via video or tele-conference.
- ★ February 5, 2015: Grants awarded.
- ★ September 15, 2016: Grant period ends.

## Amount of Funds

## Approximately \$480,000

- Typically 3-4 applications are funded.
- Applications should fall within a range of \$100,000 to \$200,000. However, because numerous small school districts are on the high-need LEA list, applicants working with a small school district or districts that request \$100,000 (or less) will be given equal consideration.

## Federal Requirements

 The document Title II, Part A Non-Regulatory Guidance (Revised October 5, 2006) is available at the following website address under the Policy Guidance section near bottom of page: www.ed.gov/programs/teacherqual/legislat ion.html

# Eligibility

- A public or private institution of higher education and the division of the institution that prepares teachers and principals;
- $\star$  A school of arts and sciences; and
- One or more high-need local education agencies (LEAs).

## Rationale for Partnership

- Strong disciplinary expertise of college of arts and science faculty.
- \* Strong instructional/pedagogical expertise of the college of education faculty.
- Need to improve students' achievement through professional development in high need LEAs.

## High Need LEAs

- Not less than 20% of the children served by the agency are below the poverty line, and
- High percentage of teachers not teaching in the academic subjects or grade levels that they were trained in, <u>or</u>
- ★ High percentage of teachers w/ provisional or conditional certification or licensing.

## **Optional Partners**

- Public schools/districts that do not qualify as high need, including public charter schools
- ★ Educational service agency
- Nonprofit educational and/or cultural organizations
- ★ Teacher and/or principal organizations

## **Optional Partners**

- Entity carrying out a pre-kindergarten program
- ★ Private schools
- ★ Businesses

# **Eligible Participants**

- Public and private teachers currently teaching in the proposed content area
- Public and private principals and assistant principals with responsibility for instructional leadership in the proposed content area

## **Ineligible Participants**

- Teachers, discipline-area supervisors and other personnel with no teaching responsibilities in the grant area topic area (public or private)
- \* Pre-service teachers (public or private)

## Equitable Service for Private Schools

- Private schools are not required to be a part of the partnership, but must be invited to participate if they reside within partner LEA(s) boundaries
- Their needs should be identified during the design and development of proposal

# Competitive Priorities for Projects

- ★ Priorities set jointly with KSDE
- \* Core Academic Subjects & Standards
  - State Standards for Literacy in History/Social Studies and Science
  - State Standards for Math
  - State Standards for Science

# **Eligible Projects**

- Intensive & sustained instructional format so teachers can make gains
- Activity-based, problem solving approach based on scientific research
- ★ Systematic follow-up
- Separate sessions for teachers and principal

# **Eligible Projects**

- Recommend summer institute with followup throughout year
- \* 90 contact hours with same group of participants is required

## Examples of Eligible Project Activities

- Subject matter knowledge in the core academic subjects that teachers teach
- Use of challenging State academic content standards and State assessments to improve instructional practices and student academic achievement

# Examples of Eligible Project Activities

- **\*** Train the trainer PD models
- Improve teaching and learning at lowperforming schools
- Principal leadership skill training to close performance gaps
- ★ Pre-service activities are <u>not</u> eligible

## Streamlined Guidance on Federal Awards and Subawards

- Some changes in format of proposal related to increased federal administrative and reporting requirements
- Changes increase efficiency and strengthen oversight



## Application

- **\*** Introductory Materials
- Narrative (10 pages)
- ★ Budget (form and 3 page narrative)
- **\*** Additional Materials

## **Introductory Materials**

- Cover page (use form provided)
- \* Project Summary (250 words)
- Partner Information (use form provided)
- Private Schools certification (use form provided)
- ★ Table of Contents

## Narrative

- ★ 10 page limit with 4 sections
- \* Local Need (15%)
- ★ Measurable Objectives (5%)
- ★ Recruitment and Selection Plan (15%)
- ★ Instructional and Evaluation Plan (40%)

## Local Needs (15%)

- Address how district's PD plan informed local need
- **\*** Two Components of Local Need
  - LEA/Campus Need
    - Which campuses are targeted? Why? Provide evidence.
  - Teacher and/or Principal Need
    - How were the local needs determined? Provide evidence.

## Measurable Objectives (5%)

### **\*** Objectives

- What participants should know (content)
- What participants should be able to do (instructional)
- Must be measurable and clearly defined
- Must relate to specific needs in "Local Needs" section
- Reference state standards where appropriate

# Recruitment and Selection Plan(15%)

- Should reach teachers most in need
- Must provide equitable participation by teachers from private and charter schools
- ★ Two components
  - Recruitment
  - Selection

# Recruitment and Selection Plan(15%)

### ★ Recruitment

- Describe how and when you will recruit the least prepared teachers
- Include specific methods for recruiting educators of underrepresented and underserved students
- Describe how you will recruit teams of teachers from the same building (if appropriate)

# Recruitment and Selection Plan(15%)

### ★ Selection

- Describe and rank order criteria that will be used for selecting teachers most in need
- Include specific methods for selecting educators of underrepresented and underserved students

## Instructional and Evaluation Plan(40%)

- Criteria for Instructional Plan are listed on page 7 of the RFP
- ★ Evaluation Plan
  - describe how each objective will be measured.
  - include a means to assess increases in teachers' content & pedagogical knowledge.
  - include a means to assess increases in students' content knowledge.

## Budget and Cost Effectiveness (25%)

★ Criteria listed on page 8

## Use of Funds

- ★ No single partner may directly benefit from more than 50% of the sub-grant.
- ★ University must serve as fiscal agent.
- ★ Funds must supplement, cannot supplant.
- ★ Costs must be reasonable and necessary.
- ★ Travel limited.
- ★ Indirect costs limited to 10%.

## Use of Funds

- No federal funds may be used for food and beverage costs. (Local funds are ok.)
- Participants receive either tuition or stipend.
- Participants from private schools receive same benefits with the exception that grant cannot pay for subs.

## Use of Funds

- ★ Expenses must be reasonable, necessary and related to the objectives of the grant.
- cost effectiveness is measured by cost per participant and/or the potential impact of the project on the improvement of instruction.

# Consolidated Project Budget Form

- ★ Form divides costs into 7 areas:
  - Personnel
  - Participant support
  - Professional/outside services
  - Staff Travel
  - Operations Costs
  - Other
  - Indirect Costs

## 50% Rule Form

- No single partner may benefit from more than 50% of the grant funds
- This is based on who benefits from the funds, not who receives the funds
- Submit completed form which may be found on website

## **Budget Justification**

- ★ Limit to three pages
- ★ Relate costs to objectives
- ★ Itemize costs

## Additional Items

\* One-page vitae of key personnel
\* Signed certifications form

## Proposal due January 12

- Project narrative addresses all evaluation criteria in 10 pages
- Completed budget form and budget justification
- ★ Statement addressing private schools
- ★ One page vitae
- **\*** Signed certifications form

## **Review Process**

- Reviewers from KBOR, KSDE, colleges/universities, K-12 schools
- January 27<sup>th</sup> meeting with review panel (audio or video teleconference)
- Notification of awards no later than February 5, 2015
- Applications for funded projects will be posted to website

## Project Director Responsibilities

- \* Conducting professional development
- Monitoring all fiscal expenditures for eligible project activities
- Receiving, holding, disbursing and accounting for all assets and liabilities of the project
- **\*** Submitting required reports

## Questions???